White Matter Abnormalities in First-Episode, Treatment-Naive Young Adults With Major Depressive Disorder
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Objective: The aim of this study was to explore the microstructural integrity of whole-brain white matter by diffusion tensor imaging in first-episode, treatment-naive young adults with major depressive disorder.

Method: Diffusion tensor imaging scans were obtained from 14 first-episode, treatment-naive young adult patients with major depressive disorder and 14 healthy comparison subjects. A voxel-based method was used to analyze the scans.

Results: The patient group exhibited significantly lower fractional anisotropy values than healthy comparison subjects in the white matter of the right middle frontal gyrus, the left lateral occipitotemporal gyrus, and the subgyral and angular gyri of the right parietal lobe. There were no regions of significantly higher fractional anisotropy values in patients compared with healthy comparison subjects.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that abnormalities of brain white matter may be present early in the course of major depressive disorder. They also support the idea that white matter lesions may disrupt the neural circuits involved in mood regulation and thus contribute to the neuropathology of major depressive disorder.

(Am J Psychiatry 2007; 164:823–826)
normalties in frontal and temporal lobes (14–17), although in one of these studies (14) the influence of antidepressant medications could not be excluded. Despite growing evidence for prefrontal cortical abnormalities in young as well as in elderly depressed patients (18), there are no published diffusion tensor imaging studies examining the integrity of whole-brain white matter in first-episode, treatment-naive young adult patients with major depressive disorder. We designed the pilot study reported here to further understand the neuropathology of major depressive disorder by using voxel-based analysis (19–21), a method that can assess comprehensive global brain structure changes without the restrictions imposed by the prior selection of regions of interest and thus potentially identify unsuspected anatomic abnormalities in the brain (22).

Method

Subjects

Fourteen right-handed outpatients with major depressive disorder (12 of them female) age 20–41 years (mean=28.9, SD=8.0) were recruited at Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University in Changsha, Hunan, China. They were interviewed with the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV. All patients were experiencing their first episode of major depressive disorder and were treatment naive. The mean age at illness onset was 28.1 years (SD=7.8) and the mean illness duration was 10.3 months (range=3 to 24, SD=8.3). Scores on the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) at the time of the study ranged from 27 to 48 (mean=37.5, SD=6.9). Fourteen matched right-handed healthy comparison subjects (12 of them female) age 19–41 years (mean=27.1, SD=6.7) were also recruited. The two groups had similar years of education (patient group, mean=11.4 years [SD=3.5]; comparison group, mean=12.0 years [SD=2.8]; p>0.05).

Exclusion criteria for both patients and comparison subjects were any history of loss of consciousness, meeting DSM-IV criteria for substance abuse within the 6 months prior to the scanning, mental retardation, or a current serious medical or neurological illness. An additional exclusion criterion for the patient group was any lifetime psychiatric disorder other than major depressive disorder. Additional exclusion criteria for comparison subjects were any history of psychiatric illness or a family history of major psychiatric or neurological illness in first-degree relatives. All subjects were given information about the procedures and gave written informed consent via forms approved by the Ethics Committee of the Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University.

Image Acquisition and Processing

Diffusion tensor imaging was performed on a 1.5-T GE scanner (T2Wisspeed, Milwaukee). A birdcage head coil was used, along with restraining foam pads to minimize head motion. Single-shot echo planar diffusion-weighted imaging with alignment of the anterior commissure-posterior commissure plane was done, using the following parameters: repetition time=12,000 msec; echo time=105 msec; acquisition matrix=128×128; field of view=24×24 cm; number of excitations=5; slice thickness=4 mm, no gap, 30 contiguous axial slices. The diffusion sensitizing gradients were

FIGURE 1. White Matter Regions With Significantly Lower Fractional Anisotropy Values in 14 Patients With Major Depressive Disorder Compared With 14 Healthy Comparison Subjects

a The brain regions identified were the white matter in the right middle frontal gyrus (column 1) (t=4.89, df=26, p<0.001, cluster size=258), the left lateral occipitotemporal gyrus (column 2) (t=5.00, df=26, p<0.001, cluster size=96), the subgyral white matter of the right parietal lobe (near the sulcus callosomarginalis and partially in the precuneus) (column 3) (t=4.56, df=26, p<0.001, cluster size=76), and the right angular gyrus (column 4) (t=5.23, df=26, p<0.001, cluster size=120).
applied along 13 noncollinear directions (b=1000 s/mm²), together with an acquisition without diffusion weighting (b=0).

The diffusion tensor matrix was calculated according to the equation in Basser et al.’s study (23). Three pairs of eigenvalues (λ₁, λ₂, λ₃) and eigenvectors can be obtained by diagonalization of the tensor matrix. The principal direction at each point was given by the eigenvector that corresponds to the largest eigenvalue, and a fractional anisotropy (FA) value (24) was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
FA = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \langle \lambda \rangle}{\langle \lambda \rangle} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_2 - \langle \lambda \rangle}{\langle \lambda \rangle} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\lambda_3 - \langle \lambda \rangle}{\langle \lambda \rangle} \right)^2}, \quad \langle \lambda \rangle = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}{3}
\]

For each subject, statistical parametric mapping (SPM2, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London) was first used to normalize the b=0 image to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space by nonlinear registration, and then the transformation matrix was applied to the FA map in order to normalize the map to the standard MNI space. All images were re-sampled with a final voxel size of 2×2×2 mm³, and a 6-mm full-width half-maximum Gaussian kernel was used with the FA map to decrease spatial noise and smooth the data. No correction for eddy current distortions was applied.

**Statistical Analysis**

Two-sample t tests were performed in a voxel-by-voxel manner. A p value (two-tailed) lower than 0.001 (uncorrected) in voxel difference and a cluster size greater than 50 voxels were considered to be statistically significant. To visualize regions showing significantly different FA values, significant clusters were superimposed onto SPM2’s spatially normalized template brain.

**Results**

In a voxel-by-voxel contrast, compared with healthy comparison subjects, patients with major depressive disorder showed significantly lower FA values in four brain white matter regions (Figure 1): the right middle frontal gyrus, the left lateral occipitotemporal gyrus, the subgyral white matter of the right parietal lobe (near the sulcus callosomarginalis and partially in the precuneus), and the right angular gyrus. The Talairach coordinates for the peak voxel in the four brain regions, respectively, were x=36, y=49, z=10; x=–42, y=–56, z=–1; x=24, y=–47, z=41; and x=42, y=–65, z=27. All four regions showed large effect sizes (1.918, 1.961, 1.789, and 2.051, respectively), as calculated with the equation \(d=2t/\sqrt{\text{df}}\) (25). Compared with healthy comparison subjects, patients with major depressive disorder did not show significantly higher FA values in any brain regions. Furthermore, no brain areas with significantly different FA values were found between patients with short (N=8) and long (N=6) illness durations (cutoff at 12 months), or between those with low (N=6) and high (N=8) BDI scores (cutoff at 38).

**Discussion**

Previous diffusion tensor imaging studies using region-of-interest methods with elderly depressed patients found white matter abnormalities in widespread frontal brain regions (14–17). Additionally, Bell-McGinty et al. (8) reported smaller white matter volumes in the right middle frontal gyrus in geriatric depressed patients than in healthy comparison subjects by voxel-based morphometry. Our study similarly demonstrated white matter abnormalities in the right middle frontal gyrus in young adult depressed patients. The right middle frontal gyrus corresponds anatomically to the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, which is involved functionally in cognition and emotional regulation (4) and has been found to show hypometabolism both in depressed subjects before treatment (26–28) and in healthy comparison subjects during experimentally induced sadness (28). Even prefrontal lobe volume loss has been observed in late-onset and early-onset depression (7). Taken together, these findings suggest that loss of integrity of prefrontal white matter may exist in depression in a variety of age groups.

We observed white matter abnormalities in the left lateral occipitotemporal gyrus, which is the lateral portion of the fusiform gyrus and has extensive afferent and efferent connections with the limbic regions. Our findings are supported by two region-of-interest studies (15, 16) that reported reduced temporal lobe white matter FA values in depressed patients and by neuroimaging studies that reported hypometabolism (29) and abnormal neural activity (30) in the left fusiform gyrus in depression. We also found abnormalities in the right parietal white matter among depressed subjects, which is congruent with previous studies using positron emission tomography (28, 31), although this finding is inconsistent with that reported by Nobuhara et al. (15). The small sample size in our pilot study might have contributed to such differences. In addition, many other factors, including the mean age of subjects, age at onset of depression, illness duration, depression severity, drug administration, and methods of imaging data processing and analysis, could contribute to the inconsistent findings. Taken together, however, these findings support the theory that white matter lesions disrupt the neural circuits involved in mood regulation, which would contribute to the pathogenesis of major depressive disorder.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to use voxel-based analysis to explore the integrity of whole-brain white matter microstructure in first-episode, treatment-naïve young adult patients with major depressive disorder. Its principal limitations are the relatively small sample size, the large range of illness duration (3–24 months), and the risk of a type I error (uncorrected). Significant clusters in our pilot study would not survive after false discovery rate correction. Furthermore, compared with the region-of-interest method, the voxel-based method cannot focus on specific brain regions and calculate concrete means and standard deviations of FA values in each cluster. Despite the limitations, using the voxel-based method with a relatively strict restriction of p<0.001 and a cluster size >50 voxels, we present evidence for possible loss of integrity of white matter fiber tracts in frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes in first-episode, treatment-naïve young adult patients with major depressive disorder, which suggests that white matter pathology may occur early in the course of illness. Future studies can use diffusion tensor imaging to explore whether such abnormalities are progressive.
BRIEF REPORTS

over the course of major depressive disorder as well as the relation-
ship between these abnormalities and depression severity, response to treatment, and risk of relapse.

Received March 16, 2006; revisions received July 16, Sept. 25, and
Nov. 28, 2006; accepted Dec. 21, 2006. From the Mental Health Insti-
tute and Department of Radiology, Second Xiangya Hospital of Cen-
tral South University, Changsha, Hunan, China; National Laboratory
of Pattern Recognition, Automation Institute, Chinese Academy of
Sciences, Beijing; Laboratory of Learning and Memory, Kunming In-
stitute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan,
China; and Department of Psychiatry, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical
Center and Harvard Medical School, Boston. Address correspondence
and reprint requests to Dr. Lingjiang Li, the Mental Health Insti-
tute, Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Chang-
sha, Hunan, 410011, China; 13807314575@163.com (e-mail).

All authors report no competing interests.

Supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of
China (30670751 and 30470621 to Lingjiang Li, 30570509 and
30425004 to Tianzi Jiang), 973 Program (2006CB500800 to Lingjiang
Li and Lin Xu), and a grant from the National Ministry of Education of
China (20030533022 to Lingjiang Li). The authors thank Yi H. Hao, of
the Mental Health Institute of the 2nd Xiangya Hospital, Central South
University, for assistance in illustration processing and Yu H. Xie, of
Duck University, for assistance in composition.

References

1. Drevets WC: Neuroimaging studies of mood disorders. Biol Psy-
chiatry 2000; 48:813–829
2. Tekin S, Cummings JL: Frontal-subcortical neuronal circuits and clini-
3. Sheline Y: Neuroimaging studies of mood disorder effects on
4. Phillips ML, Drevets WC, Rauch SL, Lane R: Neurobiology of
emotion perception, II: implications for major psychiatric dis-
borders. Biol Psychiatry 2003; 54:515–528
5. Coffey CE, Wilkinson WE, Weiner RD, Parashos IA, Djang WT,
Webb MC, Figiel GS, Spritzer CE: Quantitative cerebral anatomy
in depression: a controlled magnetic resonance imaging study.
Arch Gen Psychiatry 1993; 50:7–16
6. Drevets WC, Price JL, Simpson JR Jr, Todd RD, Reich T, Vannier
M, Raichle ME: Subgenual prefrontal cortex abnormalities in
and major depression: early evidence for common neuroauto-
atomical substrates detected by using MRI. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 1998; 95:7654–7658
8. Bell-McGinty S, Butters MA, Meltzer CC, Greer PJ, Reynolds CF
III, Becker JT: Brain morphometric abnormalities in geriatric
depression: long-term neurobiological effects of illness dura-
9. Ballmaier M, Toga AW, Blanton RE, Sowell ER, Lavretsky H,
Peterson J, Pham D, Kumar A: Anterior cingulate, gyrus rectus,
and orbitofrontal abnormalities in elderly depressed patients:
an MRI-based parcellation of the prefrontal cortex. Am J Psy-
chiatry 2004; 161:99–108
10. Soares JC, Mann J: The anatomy of mood disorders: review of
structural neuroimaging studies. Biol Psychiatry 1997; 41:86–106
11. Coffey CE, Figiel GS, Djang WT, Weiner RD: Subcortical hyperinten-
sity on magnetic resonance imaging: a comparison of normal and
12. Thomas AJ, O’Brien JT, Davis S, Ballard C, Barber R, Kalaria RN,
Perry RH: Ischemic basis for deep white matter hyperintensi-
ties in major depression: a neuropathological study. Arch Gen
Psychiatry 2002; 59:785–792
13. Hickie I, Scott E, Mitchell P, Wilhelm K, Austin MP, Bennett B:
Subcortical hyperintensities on magnetic resonance imaging;
clinical correlates and prognostic significance in patients with
severe depression. Biol Psychiatry 1995; 37:151–160
14. Taylor WD, MacFall JR, Payne ME, McQuoid DR, Provenzale JM,
Steffens DC, Krishnan KRR: Late-life depression and micro-
structural abnormalities in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex white
15. Nobuhara K, Okugawa G, Sugimoto T, Minami T, Tamagaki C,
Takase K, Saito Y, Sawada S, Kinoshita T: Frontal white matter
anisotropy and symptom severity of late-life depression: a
magnetic resonance diffusion tensor imaging study. J Neuror
Neurosurg Psychiatry 2006; 77:120–122
T, Tajika A, Sugimoto T, Tamagaki C, Ikeda K, Sawada S, Kinoshita
T: Effects of electroconvulsive therapy on frontal white matter
17. Alexopoulos GS, Klosnes DN, Choi SJ, Murphy CF, Lim KO: Front-
al white matter microstructure and treatment response of
159:1929–1932
18. Botteron KN, Raichle ME, Drevets WC, Heath AC, Todd RD: Vol-
umetric reduction in left subgenual prefrontal cortex in early on-
set depression. Biol Psychiatry 2002; 51:342–344
19. Szeszko PR, Ardekani BA, Ashtari M, Kumra S, Robinson DG,
Sevy S, Gunduz-Bruce H, Malhotra AK, Kane JM, Bildner RM, Lim
KO: White matter abnormalities in first-episode schizophrenia
or schizoaffective disorder: a diffusion tensor imaging study.
Am J Psychiatry 2005; 162:602–605
Zhang Z: White matter integrity of the whole brain is disrupted
in first-episode schizophrenia. Neuroreport 2006; 17:23–26
21. Ashburner J, Friston KJ: Voxel-based morphometry: the meth-
22. Gitelman DR, Ashburner J, Friston KJ, Tyler LK, Price CJ: Voxel-
based morphometry of herpes simplex encephalitis. Neuroim-
23. Basser PJ, Mattiello J, LeBihan D: Estimation of the effective
self-diffusion tensor from the NMR spin echo. J Magn Reson B
1994; 103:247–254
24. Basser PJ, Pierpaoli C: Microstructural and physiological fea-
tures of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI.
25. Rosenthal R, Rosnow RL: Essentials of Behavioral Research: Meth-
26. Baxter LRJ, Schwartz JM, Phelps ME, Mazzotta JC, Guze BH, Se-
lin CE, Gerner RH, Sumida RM: Reduction of prefrontal cortex
metabolism across waking and non-rapid eye movement sleep
stages in depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1989; 46:243–250
27. Mayberg HS: Limbic-cortical dysregulation: a proposed model
28. Mayberg HS, Liotti M, Brannan SK, McGinnis S, Maunirik RH, Jer-
abek PA, Silva JA, Tekell JL, Martin CC, Lancaster JL, Fox PT: Re-
ciprocal limbic-cortical function and negative mood: converg-
ing PET findings in depression and normal sadness. Am J Psy-
chiatry 1999; 156:675–682
29. Nofzinger EA, Buyse DS, Germain A, Price JC, Meltzer CC, Mie-
wald JM, Kupfer DJ: Alterations in regional cerebral glucose
metabolism across waking and non-rapid eye movement sleep
in depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005; 62:387–396
30. Surguladze S, Brammer MJ, Keedwell P, Giampietro V, Young
AW, Travis MJ, Williams SC, Phillips ML: A differential pattern of
neural response toward sad versus happy facial expressions in
major depressive disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2005; 57:201–209
P, Mendlewicz J, Lotstra F: Frontal and parietal metabolic distur-
bances in unipolar depression. Biol Psychiatry 1994; 36:381–388